Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/599,243	TAMAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Benjamin M. Baldridge	2831
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>80</u>	
(1) <u>Benjamin M. Baldridge</u> .	(3)	
(2) Norman Soloway, Reg. No. 24,315.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 November 2008	Time: <u>11:45 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 9		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Benjamin M Baldridge/ Examiner, Art Unit 2831	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/599,243

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed amendment to combine claims 1 and 9 as independent claim 1, and to cancel claim 9, thereby putting application in condition for allowance during telephone interview on 5 November. Mr. Soloway said he would consult with his clients and reply on 6 November. A phone message was received on 6 November, giving permission to proceed with amendment as discussed previously on November 5. . .